arXiv:math/0511087v1 [math.DG] 3 Nov 2005

Chen's inequality in Lagrangian case

Teodor Oprea
University of Bucharest,
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
14 Academiei St., code 010014, Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: teodoroprea@yahoo.com

Abstract

In the theory of submanifolds, the following problem is fundamental: *to establish simple relationships between the main intrinsic invariants and the main extrinsic invariants of the submanifolds*. The basic relationships discovered until now [1, 2, 3, 4] are inequalities. To analyze these problems, we follow the idea of C. Udrişte [6, 7, 8] that the method of constrained extremum is a natural way to prove geometric inequalities. We improve the Chen's inequality which characterizes a totally real submanifold of a complex space form. For that we suppose that the submanifold is Lagrangian and we formulate and analyze a suitable constrained extremum problem.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* 53C21, 53C24, 53C25, 49K35.
Keywords: constrained maximum, Chen's inequality, Lagrangian submanifolds.

1.Optimizations on Riemannian submanifolds

Let $(N, \widetilde{g}\ )$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension m, M be a Riemannian submanifold of it, g be the metric induced on M by $\widetilde{g}$ and $f : N \to R$ be a differentiable function.

In [5] we considered the constrained extremum problem

$$(1)\ \min_{x\in M} f(x).$$

The first result is

THEOREM 1. *If $x_0 \in M$ is the solution of the problem* (1)*, then*
i) (grad f)$(x_0) \in T^{\perp}_{x_0}M$,
ii) *the bilinear form*

$$\alpha : T_{x_0}M \times T_{x_0}M \ \rightarrow \ R,$$
$$\alpha(X,Y) = \text{Hess}_f(X,Y) + \widetilde{g}\,(h(X,Y), (\text{grad f})(x_0)),$$

is positive semidefinite, where h is the second fundamental form of the submanifold M in N.

We shall use this theorem in order to find a inequality verified by the Chen's invariant of a Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form.

2. Estimation of Chen's invariant of a Lagrangian submanifold in a complex space form

Let (M,g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n, and x a point in M. We consider the orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ in T_xM. The scalar curvature at x is defined by

$$\tau = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} R(e_i, e_j, e_i, e_j),$$

where R is the Riemann curvature tensor of (M,g).

We denote $\delta_M = \tau - \min(k)$, where k is the sectional curvature at the point x. The invariant δ_M is called the Chen's invariant of Riemannian manifold (M,g).

Let $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, J)$ be a Kähler manifold of real dimension $2m$. A submanifold M of dimension n of $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, J)$ is called a totally real submanifold if for any point x in M the relation $J(T_xM) \subset T_x^{\perp}M$ holds.

If, in addition, $n = m$, then M is called Lagrangian submanifold. For a Lagrangian submanifold, the relation $J(T_xM) = T_x^{\perp}M$ occurs.

A Kähler manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature is called a complex space form and is denoted by $\widetilde{M}(c)$. The Riemann curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of $\widetilde{M}(c)$ satisfies the relation

$\widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z = \frac{c}{4}\{\widetilde{g}(Y,Z)X - \widetilde{g}(X,Z)Y + \widetilde{g}(JY,Z)JX - \widetilde{g}(JX,Z)JY + 2\widetilde{g}(X,JY)JZ\}$.

A totally real submanifold of real dimension n in a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of real dimension $2m$ verifies a Chen's inequality

$$\delta_M \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\{\frac{n^2}{n-1}\,\|H\|^2 + (n+1)\frac{c}{4}\},$$

where H is the mean curvature vector of the Riemannian submanifold M of $\widetilde{M}(c)$.

REMARK. i) If M is a totally real submanifold of real dimension n in a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of real dimension $2m$, then

$$A_{JY}X = -Jh(X,Y) = A_{JX}Y, \forall\ X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

ii) Let $m = n$ (M is Lagrangian in $\widetilde{M}(c)$). If we consider the point $x \in M$, the orthonormal frames $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ in T_xM and $\{Je_1, ..., Je_n\}$ in $T_x^{\perp}M$, then

$$h_{jk}^i = h_{ik}^j, \forall i, j, k \in \overline{1, n},$$

where h_{jk}^i is the component after Je_i of the vector $h(e_j, e_k)$.

With these ingredients we prove the next result which can be regarded as an obstruction to Lagrangian isometric immersions of a Riemannian manifold into a complex space form.

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a Lagrangian submanifold in complex space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of real dimension $2n$, $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$\delta_M \leq \tfrac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2} \tfrac{c}{4} + \tfrac{n^2}{2} \tfrac{2n-3}{2n+3} \|H\|^2 .$$

PROOF. We consider the point $x \in M$, the orthonormal frames $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ in T_xM and $\{Je_1, ..., Je_n\}$ in $T_x^{\perp}M$, $\{e_1, e_2\}$ being an orthonormal frame in the $2-$ plane which minimize the sectional curvature at the point x in T_xM.

By using Gauss's equation $\widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = \widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) -$
$-g(h(X, Z), h(Y, U)) + g(h(X, U), h(Y, Z)), \forall\ X, Y, Z, U \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and the fact that $\widetilde{M}(c)$ is a complex space form, we obtain

(1) $\tau = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{c}{4} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ij}^r)^2,$

(2) $R(e_1, e_2, e_1, e_2) = \frac{c}{4} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - \sum_{r=1}^{n} (h_{12}^r)^2.$

By subtracting the relations (1) and (2), we find

(3) $\delta_M = \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2} \frac{c}{4} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r) h_{jj}^r + \sum_{3 \leq i < j \leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r -$
$- \sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{1j}^r)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ij}^r)^2).$

By using the symmetry in the three indexes of h_{ij}^k, we can write

(4) $\delta_M \leq \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2} \frac{c}{4} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r) h_{jj}^r + \sum_{3 \leq i < j \leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r) -$
$- \sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{1j}^1)^2 - \sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{1j}^j)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ij}^i)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ij}^j)^2 =$
$= \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2} \frac{c}{4} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r) h_{jj}^r + \sum_{3 \leq i < j \leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r) -$
$- \sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{11}^j)^2 - \sum_{3 \leq j \leq n} (h_{jj}^1)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{ii}^j)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (h_{jj}^i)^2 =$

$= \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}\frac{c}{4} + \sum_{r=1}^{n}(\sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r)h_{jj}^r + \sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r) -$
$- \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{11}^j)^2 - \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{jj}^1)^2 - \sum_{\substack{i,j\in\overline{2,n}\\ i\neq j}}(h_{jj}^i)^2.$

Let us consider the quadratic forms $f_1,\ f_2,\ f_r : R^n \to R,\ r \in \overline{3,n}$ defined respectively by

$$f_1(h_{11}^1, h_{22}^1, ..., h_{nn}^1) = \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{11}^1 + h_{22}^1)h_{jj}^1 + \sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n} h_{ii}^1 h_{jj}^1 - \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{jj}^1)^2,$$
$$f_2(h_{11}^2, h_{22}^2, ..., h_{nn}^2) = \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{11}^2 + h_{22}^2)h_{jj}^2 + \sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n} h_{ii}^2 h_{jj}^2 - \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{jj}^2)^2,$$
$$f_r(h_{11}^r, h_{22}^r, ..., h_{nn}^r) = \sum_{3\leq j\leq n}(h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r)h_{jj}^r + \sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n} h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r -$$
$$-(h_{11}^r)^2 - \sum_{\substack{j\in\overline{2,n}\\ j\neq r}}(h_{jj}^r)^2.$$

We need the maximum of f_1 and f_3. For f_2 and f_r, $r \in \overline{4,n}$, we can solve similar problems.

We start with the problem

$$\max f_1,$$

$$\text{subject to } P : h_{11}^1 + h_{22}^1 + ... + h_{nn}^1 = k^1,$$

where k^1 is a real constant.

The first three partial derivatives of the function f_1 are

(5) $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial h_{11}^1} = \sum_{3\leq j\leq n} h_{jj}^1,$

(6) $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial h_{22}^1} = \sum_{3\leq j\leq n} h_{jj}^1,$

(7) $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial h_{33}^1} = h_{11}^1 + h_{22}^1 + \sum_{4\leq j\leq n} h_{jj}^1 - 2h_{33}^1.$

As for a solution $(h_{11}^1, h_{22}^1, ..., h_{nn}^1)$ of the problem in question, the vector (grad) (f_1) is normal at P, from (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

(8) $h_{11}^1 + h_{22}^1 = 3h_{jj}^1 = 3a^1,\ \forall\ j \in \overline{3,n}.$

By using the relation $h_{11}^1 + h_{22}^1 + h_{33}^1 + ... + h_{nn}^1 = k^1$, from (8) we obtain $3a^1 + (n-2)a^1 = k^1$. Consequently

(9) $a^1 = \frac{k^1}{n+1}.$

As f_1 is obtained from the function studied in Chen's inequality (see [5]) by subtracting some square terms, $f_1\,|P$ will have the Hessian seminegative definite. Consequently the point $(h_{11}^1, h_{22}^1, ..., h_{nn}^1)$ given by the relations (8) and (9) is a global maximum point, and hence

(10) $f_1 \leq 3a^1(n-2)a^1 + C_{n-2}^2(a^1)^2 - (n-2)(a^1)^2 = \frac{(a^1)^2}{2}(n+1)(n-2).$

From (9) and (10), it follows

(11) $f_1 \leq \frac{(k^1)^2}{2}\frac{n-2}{n+1} = \frac{(n)^2}{2}\frac{n-2}{n+1}(H^1)^2$.

Similarly we obtain

(12) $f_2 \leq \frac{(n)^2}{2}\frac{n-2}{n+1}(H^2)^2$.

Further on, we shall consider the problem

$$\max f_3,$$

$$\text{subject to } P : h_{11}^3 + h_{22}^3 + ... + h_{nn}^3 = k^3,$$

where k^3 is a real constant.

The first four partial derivatives of the function f_3 are

(13) $\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial h_{11}^3} = \sum\limits_{3\leq j\leq n} h_{jj}^3 - 2h_{11}^3$,

(14) $\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial h_{22}^3} = \sum\limits_{3\leq j\leq n} h_{jj}^3 - 2h_{22}^3$,

(15) $\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial h_{33}^3} = h_{11}^3 + h_{22}^3 + \sum\limits_{4\leq j\leq n} h_{jj}^3$,

(16) $\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial h_{44}^3} = h_{11}^3 + h_{22}^3 + \sum\limits_{\substack{3\leq j\leq n \\ j\neq 4}} h_{jj}^3 - 2h_{44}^3$.

For a solution $(h_{11}^1, h_{22}^1, ..., h_{nn}^1)$ of the problem in question, the vector (grad)(f_3) is colinear to $(1, 1, ..., 1)$.

By using (13), (14), (15) and (16) we obtain

(17) $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3 = 3a^3$,

(18) $h_{33}^3 = 12a^3$,

(19) $h_{jj}^3 = 4a^3$, $\forall\ j \in \overline{4, n}$.

As $h_{11}^3 + h_{22}^3 + h_{33}^3 + ... + h_{nn}^3 = k^3$, from (17), (18) and (19), one gets

(20) $a^3 = \frac{k^3}{4n+6}$.

With an argument similar to those in the previous problem we obtain that the point $(h_{11}^3, h_{22}^3, ..., h_{nn}^3)$ given by the relations (17), (18), (19) and (20) is a global maximum point. Therefore

(21) $f_3 \leq 6a^3 12a^3 + 6a^3(n-3)4a^3 + 12b(n-3)4a^3 + C_{n-3}^2 16(a^3)^2 -$

$$-18(a^3)^2 - (n-3)16(a^3)^2 = 2(a^3)^2(2n-3)(2n+3).$$

From (20) and (21) we obtain $f_3 \leq \frac{(k^3)^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3} = \frac{n^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3}(H^3)^2$.

Similarly one gets

(22) $f_r \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3}(H^r)^2$, $\forall\ r \in \overline{3, n}$.

As $\frac{n-2}{n+1} < \frac{2n-3}{2n+3}$, $\forall\ n \geq 3$, from (11), (12) and (22), it follows

(23) $f_r \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3}(H^r)^2$, $\forall\ r \in \overline{1, n}$.

By using the relations (4) and (23) we have

(24) $\delta_M \leq \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}\frac{c}{4} + \frac{n^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3}\sum\limits_{r=1}^{n}(H^r)^2 = \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}\frac{c}{4} + \frac{n^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3}\left\|H\right\|^2$.

REMARK. B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, L. Vrancken showed in [4] that an Lagrangian submanifold, of real dimension $2n$, $n \geq 3$, of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, satisfying the equality

$$(25)\ \delta_M = \frac{n-2}{2}\{\frac{n^2}{n-1}\|H\|^2 + (n+1)\frac{c}{4}\},$$

is minimal.

Now, this result is an immediate consequence of the previous inequality.

From (24) and (25), it follows $\frac{n-2}{2}\frac{n^2}{n-1}\|H\|^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{2}\frac{2n-3}{2n+3}\|H\|^2$, whence $\frac{n^2}{2}\|H\|^2(\frac{2n-3}{2n+3} - \frac{n-2}{n-1}) \geq 0$.

As $\frac{2n-3}{2n+3} - \frac{n-2}{n-1} < 0$, $\forall\ n \geq 3$, we infer that $\|H\| = 0$, so M is a minimal submanifold in $\widetilde{M}(c)$.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank to Professor C. Udrişte, who has always been generous with his time and advice.

References

[1] B.Y. Chen, 'Some pinching classification theorems for minimal submanifolds', *Arch. Math.* **60** (1993), 568-578.

[2] B.Y. Chen, 'Some new obstructions to minimal Lagrangian isometric immersions', *Japan. J. Math.* **26** (2000), 105-127.

[3] B.Y. Chen, 'Ideal Lagrangian immersions in complex space forms', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc*, **128** (2000), 511-533.

[4] B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen, L. Vrancken, 'Totally real submanifolds of CP^n satisfying a basic equality', *Arch. Math.* **63** (1994), 553-564.

[5] T. Oprea, 'Optimizations on Riemannian Submanifolds', *Analele Univ. Buc.*, **LIV** 1 (2005), 127-136.

[6] C. Udrişte, E. Tănăsescu, 'Minime şi maxime ale funcţiilor reale de variabile reale', Editura Tehnică, Bucureşti, 1980.

[7] C. Udrişte, 'Convex functions and optimization methods on Riemannian manifolds', Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1994.

[8] C. Udrişte, O. Dogaru, I Ţevy, 'Extrema with nonholonomic constraints', Geometry Balkan Press, Bucharest 2002.